

IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

Yuflyma

Ministry of Food and Drug Safety

APPROVED

	PART A - ADMINIST	RATIVE INFORMATION
Entered by:	Biosi	milar Product Information
MAH	Name of the biosimilar medicinal product	Yuflyma
МАН	МАН	Celltrion Co. Ltd., 20, Academy-ro 51beon-gil, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon, Republic of Korea
NRA	Authorisation / Licence number	Celltrion Co. Ltd., / 3 (pre-filled syringe), 4 (pre-filled pen)
MAH / NRA	API manufacturing facilities and batch release site for the finished product (if applicable)	Not Released
MAH	Name of the active substance	Adalimumab (INN)
MAH	Pharmaco-therapeutic group	ATC code: L04AB04
MAH	Substance category	Monoclonal antibodies
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	Clear to slighthly opalescent, colorless to pale brown solution
MAH	Quantitative composition	40 mg/syringe 40 mg/pen
MAH	Route of administration	subcutaneous infusion
MAH	Packaging/material	Pre-filled syringe Pen
МАН	Package size(s)	1 pre-filled syringe/box 2 pre-filled syringe/box 4 pre-filled syringe/box 6 pre-filled syringe/box 1 pre-filled pen/box 2 pre-filled pen/box 4 pre-filled pen/box 6 pre-filled pen/box



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

MAH	Local legal basis	Pharmaceutical Affairs Act article 42 and Enforcement
		for drug safety article 4
MAH	Local biosimilar guidelines	Guidelines on the Evaluation of Biosimilar Products (MFDS 2014)
MAH	Date of authorisation/licensing of biosimilar	15 Oct 2021
	Reference Biothe	rapeutic Product (RBP) Information
MAH	Name of the RBP	Humira
МАН	Authorised indications for RBP	Rheumatoid arthritis Psoriatic arthritis Axial spondyloarthritis Ankylosing spondylitis Axial spondyloarthritis without radiographic evidence of AS Crohn's disease Psoriasis Ulcerative colitis Behcet's colitis Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) Uveitis Paediatric Crohn's disease Juvenile idiopathic arthritis Polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis Enthesitis-related arthritis
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	Clear to slighthly opalescent, colorless to pale brown solution
MAH	Quantitative composition	40 mg/syringe 40 mg/pen
MAH	Route of administration	subcutaneous infusion
MAH	Packaging/material	Pre-filled syringe Pen
MAH	Package size(s)	1 pre-filled syringe/box 1 pre-filled pen/box
MAH	Authorisation (Licence) number (of RBP)	Abbie Korea / 30, 31
MAH	Date of authorisation (of RBP)	21 June 2017
MAH	Authorisation (Licence) Holder (of RBP)	Abbie Korea Co., Ltd.



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

МАН	Source of RBP (or other comparator) for comparability exercise	Republic of Korea European Union
MAH /	Availability of the RBP assessment report (language)/link	https://nedrug.mfds.go.kr/pbp/CCBAC02/getItem?item Name=%ED%9C%B4%EB%AF%B8%EB%9D%BC &totalPages=4&limit=10&page=1&&jdgmnResultInf oSeq=33417
	S	Summary of outcomes
MAH	Comparability exercise to demonstrate similarity to RBP	Extensive comparability exercise including data form: physicochemical, biological characterization, in vitro, in vivo non-clinical studies, PK, efficacy, safety and immunogenicity studies
NRA	Availability of full assessment report (language)/link	https://nedrug.mfds.go.kr/pbp/CCBAC02/getItem?sear chYn=true&title=%EC%9C%A0%ED%94%8C%EB %9D%BC%EC%9D%B4%EB%A7%88&totalPages= 1&limit=10&page=1&jdgmnResultInfoSeq=20220000 190
МАН	Indications applied for (if different to RBP)	The indications applied for were all authorized for RBP (see section Authorised indications for RBP).
NRA	Authorised indications for biosimilar	Rheumatoid arthritis Psoriatic arthritis Axial spondyloarthritis Ankylosing spondylitis Axial spondyloarthritis without radiographic evidence of AS Crohn's disease Psoriasis Ulcerative colitis Behcet's colitis Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) Uveitis Paediatric Crohn's disease Juvenile idiopathic arthritis Polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis Enthesitis-related arthritis

MAH (Marketing Authorisation Holder) or Sponsor NRA (National Regulatory Authority) i.e. CA (Competent Authority)

	PART B - SUBMITTED DATA AND REVIEWER SUMMARY
	Procedure: <initial application=""></initial>
MAH	Quality data. Composition of the biosimilar product(s)
	Adalimumab 40 mg Sodium acetate trihydrate



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

<December 2022>

Acetic acid Glycine Polysorbate 80 Water for injection

MAH Quality data. State-of-the-art methods

Structural Chracteristics

- Primary structure : Molecular weight, N-terminal sequence analysis, C-terminal sequence analysis, Peptide mapping
- High order structure analysis : Disulfide bond analysis, Free thiol analysis, FTIR, CD, DSC

Physicochemical Test

- Purity and Impurities, Charge variants, Post-translational forms, Oligosaccharide profile, N-linked glycan analysis, Protein concentration

Biological properties

- hTNFα neutralization assay, ADCC assay, CDC assay, Apoptosis

Immunochemial properties

- TNFα binding assay (ELISA), tmTNFα binding assay (CELISA), FcRn binding assay, Fc gamma Receptor(FcγRIa, FcγRIIa, FcγRIIb, FcγRIIIa-V, FcγRIIIa-F, FcγRIIIb) binding assay, C1q binding assay

NRA Quality data assessment outcome

Comprehensive head-to-head comparability studies performed using state-of-the art analytical procedures demonstrated that all major quality attributes of Yuflyma were comparable to those of Humira with respect to physiochemical, biological and immunochemical properties. The similarity range was determined using the sufficient characterization data from EU Humira, and the bridging data demonstrated the equivalence of EU Humira, US Humira and KR Humira.

There were slight differences in N-glycan profiles. The differences were appropriately justified with comparability on the biological activity of Adalimumab.

Comparative forced degradation studies including heat stress, exposure to akaline/acidic condition, oxidation and UV stress demonstrated similar degradation profiles for Yuflyma and Humira.

Overall, based on the totality of evidence with respect to all quality characteristics and global clinical studies, the biosimilarity of Yuflyma to Hurima was concluded.



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

<December 2022>

MAH	Mechanism of action		
	Yuflyma(Adalimumab) is a recombinant human monoclonal antibody that selectively binds directly to human tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α), a cytokine that is involved in normal inflammatory and immune responses but dysregulated in inflammatory diseases.		
MAH	Nonclinical data. In vitro studies		
	hTNF-α neutralization, TNF-α affinity (ELISA), apoptosis (FACS), ADCC reporter assay, PBMC ADCC, CDC, Fc gamma Receptor(FcγRIIIa-V type, FcγRIIIa-F type, FcγRIIIb, FcγRIIa, FcγRIIb, FcγRII, FcRn), C1q (ELISA)		
MAH	Nonclinical data. In vivo studies		
	Multiple dose pharmacokinetics (PK)/toxicokinetics (TK)/immunotoxicity/immunogenicity/local tolerance were evaluated as part of the 4-week repeat-dose toxicity study in cynomolgus monkeys		
	A 4-week repeat-dose toxicity study using cynomolgus monkeys		
NRA	Nonclinical data assessment outcome		
	1. <i>In vitro</i> studies All <i>in vitro</i> PD studies demonstrated the similarity between Yuflyma and EU-Humira.		
	2. <i>In vivo</i> studies Pharmacokinetic studies showed similar PK profiles between Yuflyma and EU-Humira groups.		
	In a 4-week repeat-dose toxicity study using cynomolgus monkeys, all animals treated Yuflyma or EU-Humira were well tolerated at a dose level of 157 mg/kg and there were no differences in toxicity profile between two groups.		
	CLINICAL STUDIES		
	 include relevant study data from the following (not all may be required) which have been included to demonstrate biosimilarity. Pharmacokinetic (PK) 		
	• Pharmacodynamic (PD)		
	• Efficacy		
	SafetyImmunogenicity		
MAH	Clinical data. PK studies		
	Study Number : CT-P17 1.1		
	• Summary of design: a randomized, double-blind, three-arm, parallel group, single-dose study to compare the pharmacokinetics and safety of CT-P17 and Humira (US-licensed Humira and EU-approved Humira) in healthy subjects		
	• Randomized subjects (N=312): 103 or 106 healthy subjects in each group -CT-P17(Yuflyma), EU sourced Humira, US sourced Humira		



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

- Objective and primary endpoints: Demonstration of Pharmacokinetic (PK) similarity in terms of AUC_{0-inf}, AUC_{0-last}, C_{max} of CT-P17 PFS(Yuflyma), US-Humira PFS, and EU-Humira PFS in healthy subjects
- Dose used: 40mg
- Length of the study: 10 weeks (EOS visit at Day 71)

Study Number: CT-P17 1.2

- Summary of design: a randomized, double-blind, two-arm, parallel group, single-dose study to evaluate the safety and pharmacokinetics of CT-P17 and EU Humira in healthy male subjects
- Randomized subjects (N=30): 15 healthy male subjects in each group
- Objective and primary endpoints: Evaluation of safety in terms of TEAEs of CT-P17 PFS (Yuflyma), compared to that of EU-approved Humira PFS in healthy male subjects.
- Dose used : 40mg
- Length of the study: 17 weeks (EOS visit at Day 120)

Study Number: CT-P17 1.3

- Summary of design: a randomized, open-label, two-arm, parallel group, single-dose study to compare the pharmacokinetics and safety of the auto-injector and pre-filled syringe of CT-P17 (Yuflyma) in healthy subjects
- Randomized subjects (N=30)
- Objective and primary endpoints: Demonstration of Pharmacokinetic (PK) similarity in terms of AUC_{0-inf}, AUC_{0-last}, C_{max} of CT-P17 (Yuflyma) subcutaneous (SC) administration via auto-injector (AI) versus pre-filled syringe (PFS) in healthy subjects.
- Dose used: 40mg
- Length of the study: 10 weeks (EOS visit at Day 71)

NRA

Clinical data. PK data assessment outcome

Study Number: CT-P17 1.1

The primary PK results: The 90% Confidence Interval(CI) of the geometric least squares means(LSMeans) ratios of Yuflyma to EU/US Humira for the PK parameters (AUC_{0-inf}, AUC_{0-last} and C_{max}) were comparable between the Yuflyma and EU/US Avastin treatment groups.

Yuflyma and EU Hurima showed comparability between the two products as the 90% CIs of the geometric mean ratios for AUC_{inf} , AUC_{last} and C_{max} were 0.980, 1.008 and 1.001, respectively, and these were all within the acceptance range of 80-125%.

Yuflyma and US Humira showed comparability between the two products as the 90% CIs of geometric mean ratios for AUC_{inf} , AUC_{last} and C_{max} were 1.058, 1.073, 1.019, respectively, and these were all within the acceptance range of 80-125%.

Study Number: CT-P17 1.2

The secondary PK results: Pharmacokinetic parameters were comparable between the two treatment groups. (Median T_{max} occurred at 144 hours for the CT-P17 (Yuflyma) treatment group and at 169 hours for the EU-approved Humira treatment group)

Study Number: CT-P17 1.3

The primary PK results: Statistical analysis using an ANCOVA model including covariates for gender, study center, and body weight demonstrated that the mean peak and total systemic exposure (Cmax, AUC0-inf, and AUC0-last) to CT-P17 were equivalent between CT-P17 AI and PFS. The 90% CIs of the geometric LSM ratios were within the predefined 80% to 125% equivalence margin in all instances. Mean serum concentrations measured at Day 71 were generally lower in subjects with positive ADA status compared with subjects with negative ADA status for both CT-P17 AI and CT-P17 PFS treatment groups.



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

	Of note, a majority of the subjects in the PK population (82 out of 84 subjects in the CT-P17 AI treatment group and 78 out of 80 subjects in the CT-P17 PFS treatment group) had at least 1 ADA positive post-treatment result and thus, mean serum parameters of CT-P17 for ADA negative subjects should be viewed with caution due to the small number of subjects.
MAH	Clinical data. PD studies
	No specific PD study was conducted.
NRA	Clinical data. PD data assessment outcome
	Not applicable
MAH	Clinical data. Efficacy studies
	 Study Number: CT-P17 3.1 Summary of design: A randomized, Active-controlled, double-blind, Phase III study to compare efficacy, safety of CT-P17 (Yuflyma) with EU-approved Humira when co-administered with Methotrexate in Patients with Moderate to severe Active Rheumatoid Arthritis. Eligible patients were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive either CT-P17(Yuflyma) or EU-approved Humira. Prior to dosing at Week 26, all patients underwent the second randomization process. Patients who were initially randomly assigned to EU-approved were randomized again in a ratio of 1:1 to either continue EU-approved Humira or undergo transition to CT-P17. All patients who were initially randomly assigned to CT-P17 at Day 1 (week 0) continued their treatment with CT-P17. The patients were received either CT-P17 or EU-approved Humira, as per the first and second randomizations, 40 mg (100 mg/mL) by SC injection via PFS EOW. Patients were dosed at specific time points. (Randomized patients (N=648): 324 patients in CT-P17 (Yuflyma) treatment group and 324 patients in EU-approved Humira treatment group for Treatment Period I) Objective and primary endpoint: Demonstration of comparable clinical efficacy between CT-P17 PFS and EU-approved Humira PFS at determined by clinical response according to the American College of Rheumatology definition of a 20% improvement (ACR20) at Week 24. Secondary objective: Evaluation of additional efficacy, pharmacokinetics (PK), pharmacodynamics (PD), usability (Bulgaria and Poland only), and overall safety, including immunogenicity and biomarker. Dose used: 40 mg (100 mg/mL) every other week for Treatment Period I
NRA	Clinical data. Efficacy data assessment outcome
	The number of patients who achieved ACR20 response at Week 24 was equal between the CT-P17 and EU-Humira treatment group in the ITT population. A total of 268 (82.72%) patients achieved ACR20 response at Week 24 in each of the treatment groups. The 95% CI of the treatment difference was [-5.94, 5.94], which was within the pre-defined equivalence margin of [-15%, 15%]. A similar result was observed in the PP population: the difference between the 2 treatment groups in ACR20 response rate at Week 24 was 0.06%, and the 95% CI of the treatment difference was [-5.60, 5.78], which was within the pre-defined margin of [-15%, 15%].



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

Treatment Group	ACR20 Response Rate (%)	Estimated Difference (%) ¹	95% CI of Treatment Difference ¹
ITT Population			
CT-P17	268/324 (82.72)	0.00	(5.04.5.04)
EUHumira [®]	268/324 (82.72)	0.00	(-5.94, 5.94)
PP Population			
CT-P17	248/285 (87.02)	0.06	(5 (0, 5 79)
EUHumira [®]	240/276 (86.96)	0.06	(-5.60, 5.78)

¹ Estimate of the difference in proportion and 95% confidence interval between the two treatment groups are estimated using the exact binomial method using a Farrington-Manning score method.

To explore the robustness of the ACR20 responses, the number of patients who achieved ACR20 response at Week 24 was also compared using logistic regression with treatment group as a fixed effect and country and disease activity by SDAI at screening as covariates in the ITT and PP populations. The 95% CI for the estimate of treatment difference estimated from the logistic regression results using covariates was entirely within the predefined equivalence margin of [-15%, 15%], which demonstrated therapeutic equivalence between the treatment groups (95% CI: [-5.75, 5.86] for the ITT population and 95% CI: [-5.07, 5.93] for the PP population). Thus, the equivalence of CT-P17 and EUHumira® in terms of ACR20 was shown in the ITT and PP populations, and the robustness of the primary efficacy analysis was supported by this sensitivity analysis.

Treatment Group	ACR20 Response Rate (%)	Estimate (%) ¹	Estimate d Differenc e (%) ¹	95% CI of Treatment Difference (%) ²
ITT Population				
CT-P17	268/324 (82.72)	83.63	0.06	(575 596)
EUHumira [®]	268/324 (82.72)	83.57	0.06	(-5.75, 5.86)
PP Population				
CT-P17	248/285 (87.02)	87.90	0.42	(507,502)
EUHumira [®]	240/276 (86.96)	87.47	0.43	(-5.07, 5.93)

¹ Estimates of the proportions and difference in proportion between the treatment groups were calculated using a logistic regression model with treatment group as a fixed effect and country and disease activity by SDAI at screening as covariates.

The proportion of patients achieving clinical response at Week 24 according to the ACR20 criteria for the ADA positive and ADA negative subgroups of ITT and PP populations was similar between the 2 treatment groups. In the EU-approved Humira treatment group, there was a slightly lower trend in the proportion of patients who achieved ACR20 at Week 24 in the ADA positive subgroup than ADA negative subgroup, but the CT-P17 treatment group showed similar results between the ADA subgroups in the ITT and PP populations.

² Estimates of the 95% confidence interval were estimated from the logistic regression results using the Delta method. This method assumes independence between the treatment groups.



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

	Parameter	CT-P17	EU-approved Humira	
	visit	Number (%) of patients		
	ITT Population	N=324	N=324	
	ADA positive subgroup			
	ACR20 at Week 24	119/143 (83.2)	148/185 (80.0)	
	ADA negative subgroup			
	ACR20 at Week 24	149/178 (83.7)	120/138 (87.0)	
	PP Population	N=285	N=276	
	ADA positive subgroup			
	ACR20 at Week 24	110/124 (88.7)	128/151 (84.8)	
	ADA negative subgroup	120/161 (07.5)	110/105 (00.6)	
	ACE20 at Week 24	138/161 (85.7)	112/125 (89.6)	
MAH	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity	y studies		
	Safety and immunogenicity data were c	ollected from all clinical stu	dies: CT-P17 1.1, CT-P17 1.2, CT	
	P17 1.3 and CT-P17 3.1			
NRA	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity	y data assessment outcome	·	
NRA		between CT-P17 (Yuflyma) and Humira treatment groups.	
	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity Safety. The overall safety profiles were similar Immunogenicity. The overall immunogenicity profiles we groups.	between CT-P17 (Yuflyma) and Humira treatment groups.	
NRA MAH	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity Safety. The overall safety profiles were similar Immunogenicity. The overall immunogenicity profiles were	between CT-P17 (Yuflyma) and Humira treatment groups.	
МАН	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity Safety. The overall safety profiles were similar Immunogenicity. The overall immunogenicity profiles we groups. Interchangeability data	between CT-P17 (Yuflyma) and Humira treatment groups.	
МАН	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity Safety. The overall safety profiles were similar Immunogenicity. The overall immunogenicity profiles we groups. Interchangeability data No additional data were provided Additional information about	between CT-P17 (Yuflyma) ere similar between CT-P17) and Humira treatment groups.	
МАН	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity Safety. The overall safety profiles were similar Immunogenicity. The overall immunogenicity profiles we groups. Interchangeability data No additional data were provided Additional information about the comparability exercise	between CT-P17 (Yuflyma) ere similar between CT-P17) and Humira treatment groups.	
MAH MAH	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity Safety. The overall safety profiles were similar Immunogenicity. The overall immunogenicity profiles we groups. Interchangeability data No additional data were provided Additional information about the comparability exercise Post-authorization measures Re-examination study in Korea Period: 2021.10.15. ~ 2025.10.14 Post-authorization risk measures: ass	between CT-P17 (Yuflyma) ere similar between CT-P17 Not applicable essment outcome.) and Humira treatment groups. (Yuflyma) and Humira treatment	
	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity Safety. The overall safety profiles were similar Immunogenicity. The overall immunogenicity profiles we groups. Interchangeability data No additional data were provided Additional information about the comparability exercise Post-authorization measures Re-examination study in Korea Period: 2021.10.15. ~ 2025.10.14	between CT-P17 (Yuflyma) ere similar between CT-P17 Not applicable essment outcome.) and Humira treatment groups. (Yuflyma) and Humira treatment	



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

PART C - REVIEWER CONCLUSIONS

NRA

Conclusions on biosimilarity, approval

The data provided by the Applicant were in line with the local legislation and guidelines.

Quality

The biosimilar manufacturer has developed and validated a process capable of consistently manufacturing the product of appropriate quality, with satisfactory control of impurities. Manufacturing operations are carried out according to GMP requirements.

The quality attributes of high relevance for clinical safety and efficacy, e.g. physicochemical characteristics and biological activities of Yuflyma were comparable to those of the reference biotherapeutic product Humira.

Nonclinical

No major differences in nonclinical data were observed for Yuflyma compared to the reference biotherapeutic product Humira.

Clinical Studies

The Phase I and Phase III studies to demonstrate biosimilarity conducted in healthy volunteers and RA patients provided robust evidence that there are no clinically meaningful differences between Yuflyma and the reference biotherapeutic product Humira.

Safety: The Adeverse drug reactions (ADRs) observed with Yuflyma were in the similar range as the ADRs observed with the reference biotherapeutic product Humira.

Immunogenicity: The proportion of patients who developed ADA with Yuflyma was generally similar to the reference biotherapeutic product Humira.

Extrapolation of indications: Based on the totality of evidence, all indications requested for Humira (see Section A, summary of outcomes) were considered to be extrapolated to Yuflyma.

Risk Management

The risk management plan was considered to be acceptable.

Overall Conclusion

Satisfactory assurance of biosimilarity was demonstrated using an appropriate comparability exercise. The biosimilar product Humira was considered approvable.