

< December 2023 >

Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj.

Ministry of Food and Drug Safety

APPROVED

PART A - ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION		
Entered by:	Biosimilar Product Information	
MAH	Name of the biosimilar medicinal product	Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj.
MAH	MAH	Chong Kun Dang Pharm. 8, Chungjeong-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea
NRA	Authorisation / Licence number	Chong Kun Dang pharmaceutical Corp./ 5353
MAH / NRA	API manufacturing facilities and batch release site for the finished product (if applicable)	Confidential – Not Released
MAH	Name of the active substance	Ranibizumab (INN)
MAH	Pharmaco-therapeutic group	ATC code: S01LA04
MAH	Substance category	Monoclonal antibodies
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	Clear to slightly opalescent, colorless to pale yellow solution
MAH	Quantitative composition	0.5 mg/vial (10 mg/mL)
MAH	Route of administration	Intravitreal (IVT)
MAH	Packaging/material	Glass vial
MAH	Package size(s)	1 vial/pack
MAH	Local legal basis	Pharmaceutical Affairs Act article 42 and Enforcement for drug safety article 4
MAH	Local biosimilar guidelines	Guidelines on the Evaluation of Biosimilar Products (MFDS 2021)

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

< December 2023 >

MAH	Date of authorisation/licensing of biosimilar	20 October 2022
	Reference Biotherapeutic Product (RBP) Information	
MAH	Name of the RBP	Lucentis
MAH	Authorised indications for RBP	Neovascular (wet) age-related macular degeneration (AMD) Visual impairment due to diabetic macular oedema (DME) Proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) Visual impairment due to macular oedema secondary to Retinal vein occlusion (branch RVO or central RVO) Visual impairment due to choroidal neovascularization (CNV) Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) in preterm infants
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	Clear to slightly opalescent, colorless to pale yellow solution
MAH	Quantitative composition	0.5 mg/vial (10 mg/mL)
MAH	Route of administration	Intravitreal (IVT)
MAH	Packaging/material	Glass vial
MAH	Package size(s)	1 vial/pack
MAH	Authorisation (Licence) number (of RBP)	Novartis Korea Ltd.
MAH	Date of authorisation (of RBP)	27 July 2007
MAH	Authorisation (Licence) Holder (of RBP)	Ranibizumab (INN)
MAH	Source of RBP (or other comparator) for comparability exercise	Republic of Korea
MAH / NRA	Availability of the RBP assessment report (language)/link	https://nedrug.mfds.go.kr/pbp/CCBBB01/getItemDetail?cacheSeq=200708787&updateTs2023-07-03%2017:55:00.0b
	Summary of outcomes	
MAH	Comparability exercise to demonstrate similarity to RBP	Extensive comparability exercise including data from: Physicochemical and biological characterization, <i>In vitro</i> and <i>In vivo</i> non-clinical studies, toxicological study, PK, efficacy, safety and immunogenicity studies

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

< December 2023 >

NRA	Availability of full assessment report (language)/link	https://nedrug.mfds.go.kr/pbp/CCBAC02/getItem?searchYn=true&title=%EB%A3%A8%EC%84%BC%EB%B9%84%EC%97%90%EC%8A%A4%EC%A3%BC&totalPages=2&limit=10&page=1&jdgmResultInfoSeq=20220000203
MAH	Indications applied for (if different to RBP)	The indication applied for authorized for RBP not included retinopathy of premature
NRA	Authorised indications for biosimilar	Neovascular (wet) age-related macular degeneration (AMD) Visual impairment due to diabetic macular oedema (DME) Proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) Visual impairment due to macular oedema secondary to Retinal vein occlusion (branch RVO or central RVO) Visual impairment due to choroidal neovascularization (CNV)

MAH (Marketing Authorisation Holder) or Sponsor

NRA (National Regulatory Authority) i.e. CA (Competent Authority)

PART B - SUBMITTED DATA AND REVIEWER SUMMARY

Procedure: <Initial Application>

MAH	Quality data. Composition of the biosimilar product(s)
	Ranibizumab L-Histidine L-Histidine hydrochloride hydrate α,α -Trehalose dihydrate Polysorbate 20 Water for injection
MAH	Quality data. State-of-the-art methods
	<p>Physicochemical Properties</p> <p>N-terminal/C-terminal sequence, Amino acid sequence, Post-translational modification, Amino acid composition, Disulfide bond, Free thiol content, Molecular weight (LC-MS, NR/R SDS-PAGE), Extinction coefficient, Isoelectric point (IEF, cIEF), Secondary and tertiary structure (CD spectrum, FT-IR, Fluorescence spectrum), Thermal stability(DSC), Particles (MFI, DLS), Size variants (SE-HPLC, SEC-MALS, CE-SDS (NR/R)), Charge variants (WCX-HPLC), Hydrophobic variants (RP-UPLC), Protein content (UV), Polysorbate 20 content (FMA), Extractable volume (Volume measurement).</p> <p>Biological properties</p> <p>VEGF (VEGF₁₆₅, VEGF₁₂₁, VEGF₁₁₀) binding affinity (SPR, ELISA), VEGF (VEGF₁₆₅, VEGF₁₂₁, VEGF₁₁₀) neutralizing assay.</p>

< December 2023 >

	<p>Immunochemical properties</p> <p>Western blot analysis</p>
NRA	Quality data assessment outcome
	<p>Comprehensive head-to-head comparability studies performed using state-of-the art analytical procedures demonstrated that all major quality attributes of Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. were comparable to those of Lucentis with respect to physiochemical, biological and immunochemical properties. The similarity range was determined using the sufficient characterization data from KR Lucentis.</p> <p>Through various condition of forced degradation studies (temperature stress (40°C), photostability, oxidation and high pH stress), it was confirmed that degradation patterns between Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. and Lucentis were also similar.</p> <p>Overall, based on the totality of evidence with respect to all quality characteristics and clinical studies, the biosimilarity of Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. to Lucentis was concluded.</p>
MAH	Mechanism of action
	Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. is a humanized recombinant monoclonal antibody fragment. It binds to the receptor binding site of human vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A).
MAH	Nonclinical data. <i>In vitro</i> studies
	VEGF neutralizing assay (VEGF ₁₆₅ , VEGF ₁₂₁ , VEGF ₁₁₀)
MAH	Nonclinical data. <i>In vivo</i> studies
	<p><i>In vivo</i> pharmacological study</p> <p>Pharmacokinetics Pharmacokinetic study in NZW rabbits following single intravitreal injection of Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. and Lucentis Comparative analysis of exposure in serum, aqueous humor and vitreous humor between Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. and Lucentis</p> <p>Toxicity Study (including TK) 4-week Intravitreal (IVT) Toxicity Study in the Monkey followed by a 4-week recovery period in comparison to Lucentis (ranibizumab).</p>
NRA	Nonclinical data assessment outcome
	<p>1. <i>In vitro</i> studies See Quality assessment outcome</p> <p>2. <i>In vivo</i> studies A repeated-dose toxicity study, Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. and Lucentis were</p>

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

< December 2023 >

	<p>well tolerated with no physiology related effects. There was comparable TK profiles (Cmax, Tmax and AUC0-t) without any difference in immunogenicity profiles between Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. and Lucentis treated group.</p> <p>Pharmacokinetic studies showed similar properties between two groups.</p>
	<p>CLINICAL STUDIES</p> <p>- include relevant study data from the following (not all may be required) which have been included to demonstrate biosimilarity.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pharmacokinetic (PK) • Pharmacodynamic (PD) • Efficacy • Safety • Immunogenicity
MAH	Clinical data. PK studies
	No specific PK study was conducted.
NRA	Clinical data. PK data assessment outcome
	Not applicable
MAH	Clinical data. PD studies
	No specific PD study was conducted.
NRA	Clinical data. PD data assessment outcome
	Not applicable
MAH	Clinical data. Efficacy studies
	<p>Study Number: 177AMD17019</p> <p>Summary of design : A Multicenter, Randomized, Double-blind, Active-controlled, Parallel group, Phase III Clinical Trial</p> <p>Population: patients with neovascular (wet) age-related macular degeneration (AMD) 312 subjects (Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. group: 156 subjects, Lucentis group: 156 subjects)</p> <p>Objective and endpoint: Evaluation the Efficacy, Safety, Pharmacokinetics and Immunogenicity of Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. and Lucentis in Patients with Neovascular(wet) Age related Macular Degeneration</p> <p>Dose used: ranibizumab 3 mg/0.3 mL as a single dose</p> <p>Length of the study : 12 months</p>
NRA	Clinical data. Efficacy data assessment outcome
	This study(177AMD17019) met its primary end points, demonstrating equivalence in efficacy between the proposed biosimilar product intravitreal Chong Kun Dang

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

< December 2023 >

	<p>Ranibizumab inj. and Lucentis.</p> <p>- When the proportion of subjects who lost <15 letters in BCVA score from baseline at 3 months was compared between the treatment groups of the PPS, which was the primary analysis set, the 95% confidence interval [-3.63, 2.32] for the difference between the Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. group and Lucentis group was contained within the predefined equivalence margin of ±11.5%.</p> <table><tr><th></th><th>Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. (n=146)</th><th>Lucentis (n=145)</th><th>Difference</th><th>95% CI</th></tr><tr><td>Proportion of subjects who lost <15 letters in BCVA score from baseline at 3 months (%)</td><td>97.95</td><td>98.62</td><td>-0.66</td><td>-3.63, 2.32</td></tr></table> <p>*n : number of patients in the per-protocol set</p> <p>In addition, as a result of additional analysis of the change in BCVA after 8 weeks, similar results were confirmed between administration groups.</p> <table><tr><th></th><th>Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. (n=146)</th><th>Lucentis (n=145)</th><th>LS-Mean (SE) Difference</th><th>95% CI</th><th>P-Value^[1]</th></tr><tr><th></th><th>Least Squares-Mean (SE)</th><th>Least Squares-Mean (SE)</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></tr><tr><td>The change in BCVA after 8 weeks</td><td>5.87</td><td>5.32</td><td>0.55</td><td>-1.32, 2.43</td><td>0.5614</td></tr></table> <p>[1] ANCOVA results for LS-Mean comparison between treatment groups (Covariate: presence or absence of PCV)</p>						Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. (n=146)	Lucentis (n=145)	Difference	95% CI	Proportion of subjects who lost <15 letters in BCVA score from baseline at 3 months (%)	97.95	98.62	-0.66	-3.63, 2.32		Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. (n=146)	Lucentis (n=145)	LS-Mean (SE) Difference	95% CI	P-Value ^[1]		Least Squares-Mean (SE)	Least Squares-Mean (SE)				The change in BCVA after 8 weeks	5.87	5.32	0.55	-1.32, 2.43	0.5614
	Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. (n=146)	Lucentis (n=145)	Difference	95% CI																													
Proportion of subjects who lost <15 letters in BCVA score from baseline at 3 months (%)	97.95	98.62	-0.66	-3.63, 2.32																													
	Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. (n=146)	Lucentis (n=145)	LS-Mean (SE) Difference	95% CI	P-Value ^[1]																												
	Least Squares-Mean (SE)	Least Squares-Mean (SE)																															
The change in BCVA after 8 weeks	5.87	5.32	0.55	-1.32, 2.43	0.5614																												
MAH	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity studies																																
	Safety data was collected from clinical study 177AMD17019. Analysed patients who received at least 1 administration of study drug during the period after randomization. Immunogenicity profile was collected from 177AMD17019 study.																																
NRA	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity data assessment outcome																																
	<u>Safety.</u> Overall incidence of AEs including TEAEs, ADRs, SAEs, SADR _s , AESI _s , ocular AEs in the study eye and fellow eye, and non-ocular AEs were similar between Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. and Lucentis.																																
	<u>Immunogenicity.</u> Overall immunogenicity profiles were similar between the Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. and Lucentis.																																
MAH	Interchangeability data																																
	No additional data were provided																																
MAH	Additional information about the comparability exercise	Not applicable																															

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

< December 2023 >

MAH	Post-authorization measures	
	Re-examination study in Korea. - Period: 2022. 10. 20. ~ 2026. 10. 19	
NRA	Post-authorization risk measures: assessment outcome.	
	Post-marketing surveillance study (re-examination study) plan was considered to be acceptable. Number of subjects of Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. for re-examination study met the MFDS criteria (over 600)	
MAH	Availability of additional relevant information in the local language/ link	Not applicable

PART C - REVIEWER CONCLUSIONS

NRA	Conclusions on biosimilarity, approval
<p>The data provided by the Applicant were in line with the local legislation and guidelines.</p> <p><u>Quality</u> All major physicochemical characteristics and biological activities of Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. were comparable to those of the reference biotherapeutic product Lucentis.</p> <p><u>Nonclinical</u> No major differences in nonclinical data were observed for Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. compared to the reference biotherapeutic product Lucentis.</p> <p><u>Clinical Studies</u> The PK / efficacy studies to demonstrate biosimilarity conducted in (w)AMD patients provided robust evidence of therapeutic equivalence between Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. and the reference bioterapeutic product Lucentis.</p> <p>Safety: The ADRs observed with Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. were in the same range as the ADRs observed with the reference biotherapeutic product Lucentis.</p> <p>Immunogenicity: The proportion of patients who developed anti-drug antibodies (ADA) with Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. was generally similar for the reference biotherapeutic product Lucentis.</p> <p>Extrapolation of indications: Based on the totality of evidence, all indication requested for Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. were considered to be approvable.</p> <p><u>Risk Management</u> The risk management plan (or equivalent) was considered to be acceptable.</p> <p><u>Overall Conclusion</u> Satisfactory assurance of biosimilarity was demonstarated using an appropriate comparability exercices.</p> <p>The biosimilar product Chong Kun Dang Ranibizumab inj. was considered approvable.</p>	