

<August 2019>

Nesbell

Ministry of Food and Drug Safety

APPROVED

PART A - ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION		
Entered by:	Biosimilar Product Information	
MAH	Name of the biosimilar medicinal product	Nesbell
MAH	MAH	Chong Kun Dang Pharm. 8, Chungjeong-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea
NRA	Authorisation / Licence number	Chong Kun Dang pharmaceutical Corp./ 5248, 5249, 5250, 5251, 5252
MAH / NRA	API manufacturing facilities and batch release site for the finished product (if applicable)	Confidential – Not Released
MAH	Name of the active substance	Darbepoetin alfa (INN)
MAH	Pharmaco-therapeutic group	ATC code: B03XA02
MAH	Substance category	Erythropoietin type blood factors
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	Clear, colourless solution for injection
MAH	Quantitative composition	20 mcg/0.5 mL PFS 30 mcg/0.5 mL PFS 40 mcg/0.5 mL PFS 60 mcg/0.5 mL PFS 120 mcg/0.5 mL PFS
MAH	Route of administration	Intravenous or Subcutaneous
MAH	Packaging/material	Glass prefilled syringe (PFS)
MAH	Package size(s)	1 PFS/pack 10 PFS/pack
MAH	Local legal basis	Pharmaceutical Affairs Act article 31 and Enforcement for drug safety article 4

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

<August 2019>

MAH	Local biosimilar guidelines	Guidelines on the Evaluation of Biosimilar Products (MFDS 2014) Guidelines on non-clinical and clinical development of similar biological medicinal products containing recombinant erythropoietins (MFDS 2011)
MAH	Date of authorisation/licensing of biosimilar	29 November 2018
	Reference Biotherapeutic Product (RBP) Information	
MAH	Name of the RBP	Nesp
MAH	Authorised indications for RBP	Anemia associated with chronic renal failure (CRF) Anemia in solid cancer patients receiving chemotherapy
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	Clear, colourless solution for injection
MAH	Quantitative composition	20 mcg/0.5 mL PFS 30 mcg/0.5 mL PFS 40 mcg/0.5 mL PFS 60 mcg/0.5 mL PFS 120 mcg/0.5 mL PFS
MAH	Route of administration	Intravenous or Subcutaneous
MAH	Packaging/material	Plastic prefilled syringe (PFS)
MAH	Package size(s)	1 PFS/pack
MAH	Authorisation (Licence) number (of RBP)	13, 14, 15, 119, 120
MAH	Date of authorisation (of RBP)	NESP 20: 20 October 2009 NESP 30: 20 October 2009 NESP 40: 4 September 2014 NESP 60: 20 October 2009 NESP 120: 4 September 2014
MAH	Authorisation (Licence) Holder (of RBP)	Kyowa Kirin Korea Co., Ltd.
MAH	Source of RBP (or other comparator) for comparability exercise	Republic of Korea, Japan
MAH / NRA	Availability of the RBP assessment report (language)/link	Provide link to public assessment report in local language for reference biotherapeutic product http://www.nifds.go.kr/brd/m_88/list.do?itm_seq_1=&srchTp=0&srchWord=%EB%84%A4%EC%8A%A4

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

<August 2019>

		%ED%94%84
Summary of outcomes		
MAH	Comparability exercise to demonstrate similarity to RBP	Extensive comparability exercise including data form : physicochemical, biological, <i>in vitro</i> , <i>in vivo</i> , PK/PD, efficacy, safety and immunogenicity studies
NRA	Availability of full assessment report (language)/link	Not yet published
MAH	Indications applied for (if different to RBP)	The indication applied for were all authorized of RBP
NRA	Authorised indications for biosimilar	Anemia associated with Chronic renal failure Chemotherapy-induced anemia in cancer patients

MAH (Marketing Authorisation Holder) or Sponsor
NRA (National Regulatory Authority) i.e. CA (Competent Authority)

PART B - SUBMITTED DATA AND REVIEWER SUMMARY

Procedure: <Initial Application>

MAH	Quality data. Composition of the biosimilar product(s)
	Dabepoetin alfa Sodium dihydrogen phosphage monohydrate Disodium phosphate dihydrate Sodium chloride Polysorbate 80 Water for injection
MAH	Quality data. State-of-the-art methods
	<p>(1) Physicochemical studies :</p> <p>Amino acid composition, Amino acid sequencing, N-terminal/C-terminal sequencing & peptide mapping (HPLC, LC-MS/MS), Molecular weight (ESI-MS), Deamidation/Oxidation (LC-MS/MS), N-linked glycosylation site (LC-MS/MS), Disulphide bonds confirmation, UV spectroscopy, fluorescence spectroscopy, CD, DSC, SEC-MALLS, DLS, MFI, Native PAGE, R/NR SDS-PAGE, SE-HPLC, RP-HPLC, CE-SDS (intact/deglycosylated), IEF, CZE, Monosaccharide composition, Sialic acid content, Carbohydrate structure (NP-UPLC with FLD, LC-MS, WAX-UPLC), N-glycan structure & site-specific glycan profiling (LC-MS/MS), Glycosylation site occupancy (LC-MS/MS), Absorption coefficient, Protein concentration (UV280), fill volume</p> <p>(2) Biological activity studies :</p> <p>EPO receptor binding (ELISA, SPR), Cell-based potency assay, <i>in vivo</i> potency assay (Normocythaemic mice method)</p> <p>(3) Forced degradation studies :</p> <p>Temperature stress (40 °C), Photostability, Oxidation induction, High pH</p>

<August 2019>

NRA	Quality data assessment outcome
	<p>This product was developed as a biosimilar of ‘NESP’, which is licensed and sold domestically in Korea (developed 5 of the 6 presentations of reference product). The dosage form, route of administration are same, and CHO cell line was used as host cells, but there is a difference in formulation and container material.</p> <p>NESBELL was demonstrated to be comparable to NESP using extensive, orthogonal, and sensitive testing methods with multiple lots (6~30 lots) of reference product of Korea (NESP) and Japan (NESP).</p> <p>The comparability acceptance criteria were set reasonably and generally used a statistical approaches (equivalence testing, mean +/- 3SD) with criticality assessment of quality attributes.</p> <p>There were slight differences in oxidation, deamidation, N/O-glycan profile, size profile and charge profile, and hydrophobic profile. In particular, a difference in the change rate of oxidation was observed under the forced oxidation condition, which was expected to some extent due to compositional differences.</p> <p>In relation to these differences, the effects on quality and safety/efficacy were evaluated using structure-activity studies, additional batch analyses and the additional complementary analytical methods. Based on additional data, these differences were considered to have no clinically significant impact and adequately support the conclusion that NESBELL is highly similar to KOREA NESP.</p> <p>In addition, a risk mitigation strategy has been applied, such as applying enhanced specifications of drug substance and drug product with respect to higher change levels of oxidation and some purity profiles under stressed condition due to formulation difference.</p>
MAH	Mechanism of action
	<p>Darbepoetin alfa stimulates erythropoiesis by the same mechanism as endogenous erythropoietin. A primary growth factor for erythroid development, erythropoietin is produced in the kidney and released into the bloodstream.</p>
MAH	Nonclinical data. <i>In vitro</i> studies
	<p>Biological potency test (Cell based assay)</p>
MAH	Nonclinical data. <i>In vivo</i> studies
	<p><i>In vivo</i> pharmacological study Biological potency test (Normocythaemic mice)</p> <p>Pharmacokinetics Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic study in Sprague Dawley rat following single intravenous administration of Nesbell and Nesp Comparative analysis of absorption between Nesbell and Nesp</p>

<August 2019>

	<p>Toxicity Study (including TK) Comparative 90 day toxicity Study in the rat by intravenous administration with a recovery period Comparative local tolerance study in the rat by SC administration between Nesbell and Nesp</p>
NRA	Nonclinical data assessment outcome
	<p>1. <i>In vitro</i> studies See Quality assessment data out come</p> <p>2. <i>In vivo</i> studies <i>In vivo</i> pharmacological study showed similar phamacodynamic properties between Nesbell and Nesp treated group.</p> <p>Pharmacokinetic studies showed similar properties between two groups.</p> <p>TK studies in repeat dose toxicity in rat, showed similar PK profile (C_{max}, T_{max} and AUC_{0-t}).</p> <p>A repeated-dose toxicity study, Nesbell and Nesp were well tolerated with no physiology-related effects. There was no difference detected in immunogenicity profiles between Nesbell and Nesp treated group.</p>
	<p>CLINICAL STUDIES - include relevant study data from the following (not all may be required) which have been included to demonstrate biosimilarity. Pharmacokinetic, PK Pharmacodynamic, PD Efficacy, Safety, Immunogenicity</p>
MAH	Clinical data. PK studies
	<p>Study Number: 136HPS12C (SC) Summary of design : Pharmacokinetics study with randomized, double-blind, active control, two-treatment, two-period, two-sequence, single dosing, crossover, phase 1 trial Population: healthy male volunteers (17 subjects in each group, total 34 subjects) - Group A : Period 1 Nesbell / Period 2 Nesp - Group B : Period 1 Nesp / Period 2 Nesbell Objective and primary endpoint: Evaluation safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of Nesbell as a single dose SC injection. Exploration the immunogenicity of Nesbell as a single dose SC injection. Comparision the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of Nesbell and Nesp, an active control, when administered as a single dose SC injection. Dose used: 60 mcg of Nesbell or Nesp Length of the study : 18 weeks</p> <p>Study Number: 136HPS12D (IV) Summary of design : Pharmacokinetics study with randomized, double-blind, active control,</p>

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

<August 2019>

	<p>two-treatment, two-period, two-sequence, single dosing, crossover, phase 1 trial Population: healthy male volunteers (15 subjects in each group, total 30 subjects)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Group A : Period 1 Nesbell / Period 2 Nesp - Group B : Period 1 Nesp / Period 2 Nesbell <p>Objective and primary endpoint: Evaluation safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of Nesbell as a single dose IV injection. Exploration the immunogenicity of Nesbell as a single dose IV injection. Comparison the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of Nesbell and Nesp, an active control, when administered as a single dose IV injection.</p> <p>Dose used: 60 mcg of Nesbell or Nesp Length of the study : 15 weeks</p>
NRA	Clinical data. PK data assessment outcome
	<p>The primary PK results, the geometric LSMean ratio for the comparison of Nesbell and Nesp for PK parameters (AUC_{inf}, AUC_{last} and C_{max}) were comparable between the Nesbell and Nesp.</p> <p>Nesbell and Nesp for SC showed comparability between the two products as the 90% CIs of the geometric mean ratios for AUC_{inf}, AUC_{last} and C_{max} were 1.03, 1.09 and 1.06 respectively, and these were all within the acceptance range of 80-125%.</p> <p>Nesbell and Nesp for IV showed comparability between the two products as the 90% CIs of geometric mean ratios for AUC_{inf}, AUC_{last} and C_{max} were 1.03, 1.07, 1.05, respectively, and these were all within the acceptance range of 80-125%.</p> <p>Therefore, Nesbell and Nesp were concluded to be similar.</p>
MAH	Clinical data. PD studies
	No specific PD study was conducted.
NRA	Clinical data. PD data assessment outcome
	Not applicable
MAH	Clinical data. Efficacy studies
	<p>Study Number: 136Ane14005 (SC, Correction phase) Summary of design : Efficacy and safety study with randomized, double-blind, multi-center, phase 3 trial Population: Patients with chronic renal failure not receiving dialysis (Nesbell 118, Nesp 130 patients; total 248 subjects) Objective and endpoint: Evaluation the efficacy and safety of Nesbell or Nesp administered subcutaneously for treatment of anemia in patients with chronic renal failure not receiving dialysis.</p> <p>Dose used: 20mcg~120mcg as a single dose Length of the study : 120 weeks</p> <p>Study Number: 136Ane14004 (IV, Maintenance phase) Summary of design : Efficacy and safety study with randomized, double-blind, multi-center, phase 3 trial</p>

<August 2019>

	<p>Population: Patients with chronic renal failure not receiving dialysis (Nesbell 203, Nesp 200 patients; total 403 subjects)</p> <p>Objective and endpoint: Evaluation the efficacy and safety of Nesbell or Nesp administered intravenously for treatment of anemia in patients with chronic renal failure receiving hemodialysis.</p> <p>Dose used: 20mcg~180mcg as a single dose</p> <p>Length of the study : 107 weeks</p>																														
NRA	Clinical data. Efficacy data assessment outcome																														
	<p>Correction phase</p> <p>The efficacy and safety trial in chronic renal failure patients achieved its primary endpoint since the 95% confidence interval for the difference in the increasing hemoglobin level and mean dose at Week 20-24 was contained within the predefined equivalence margin(± 0.5g/dl, ± 36mcg) in the Per Protocol population (95% CI: - 0.21, 0.24 and -6.86, 4.06) respectively</p> <table><tr><th>Variable</th><th>Nesbell N=81</th><th>Nesp N=102</th><th>Difference</th><th>95% CI</th></tr><tr><td>Increasing of Hb (g/dl)</td><td>2.13</td><td>2.12</td><td>0.01</td><td>-0.21, 0.24</td></tr><tr><td>Mean dose (mcg)</td><td>29.63</td><td>31.03</td><td>-1.40</td><td>-6.86, 4.06</td></tr></table> <p>*N : number of patients in the per-protocol set</p> <p>Maintenance phase</p> <p>The efficacy and safety trial in dialysis chronic renal failure patients achieved its primary endpoint since the 95% confidence interval for the difference in the increasing hemoglobin level and mean dose at Week 20-24 was contained within the predefined equivalence margin(± 0.5g/dl, ± 27mcg) in the Per Protocol population (95% CI: - 0.19, 0.12 and - 2.92, 16.07) respectively.</p> <table><tr><th>Variable</th><th>Nesbell N=144</th><th>Nesp N=148</th><th>Difference</th><th>95% CI</th></tr><tr><td>Increasing of Hb (g/dl)</td><td>-0.01</td><td>0.03</td><td>-0.04</td><td>-0.19, 0.12</td></tr><tr><td>Mean dose (mcg)</td><td>74.90</td><td>61.96</td><td>6.58</td><td>-2.92, 16.07</td></tr></table> <p>*N : number of patients in the per-protocol set</p>	Variable	Nesbell N=81	Nesp N=102	Difference	95% CI	Increasing of Hb (g/dl)	2.13	2.12	0.01	-0.21, 0.24	Mean dose (mcg)	29.63	31.03	-1.40	-6.86, 4.06	Variable	Nesbell N=144	Nesp N=148	Difference	95% CI	Increasing of Hb (g/dl)	-0.01	0.03	-0.04	-0.19, 0.12	Mean dose (mcg)	74.90	61.96	6.58	-2.92, 16.07
Variable	Nesbell N=81	Nesp N=102	Difference	95% CI																											
Increasing of Hb (g/dl)	2.13	2.12	0.01	-0.21, 0.24																											
Mean dose (mcg)	29.63	31.03	-1.40	-6.86, 4.06																											
Variable	Nesbell N=144	Nesp N=148	Difference	95% CI																											
Increasing of Hb (g/dl)	-0.01	0.03	-0.04	-0.19, 0.12																											
Mean dose (mcg)	74.90	61.96	6.58	-2.92, 16.07																											
MAH	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity studies (specify population, dose used, length of the study and comparability margins)																														
	<p>Safety data were collected from all clinical study; 136HPS12C & 136HPS12D (healthy male volunteers), 136Ane14005 & 136Ane14004 (Patients with chronic renal failure). Analysed patients who administered at least one or partial dose of either of the study treatments during any dosing period.</p> <p>Immunogenicity profile was collected from 136Ane14005 study.</p>																														
NRA	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity data assessment outcome																														
	<p><u>Safety.</u> Overall incidence of TEAEs and SAE were comparable across all treatment group. And safety profile was similar between Nesbell and Nesp.</p> <p><u>Immunogenicity.</u> Overall immunogenicity profiles were similar between the Nesbell and Nesp treatment group.</p>																														

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

<August 2019>

MAH	Interchangeability data	
	No additional data were provided	
MAH	Additional information about the comparability exercise	Not applicable
MAH	Post-authorization measures	
	Re-exzmination study in Korea. - Period: 2018. 11. 29. ~ 2022. 11. 28	
NRA	Post-authorization risk measures: assessment outcome.	
	Post-marketing surveillance study (re-examination study) plan was considered to be acceptable. Number of subjects of Nesbell for re-examination study met the MFDS criteria (over 600)	
MAH	Availability of additional relevant information in the local language/ link	Not applicable

PART C - REVIEWER CONCLUSIONS

NRA	Conclusions on biosimilarity, approval
<p>The data provided by the Applicant were in line with the local legislation and guidelines.</p> <p><u>Quality</u> The biosimilar manufacturer has developed and validated a process capable of consistently manufacturing a product of appropriate quality, with satisfactory control of impurities. Manufacturing operations are carried out according to cGMP requirements.</p> <p>The quality attributes of high relevance for clinical safety and efficacy, e.g. physicochemical characteristics and biological activities of Nesbell were comparable to those of the reference biotherapeutic product Nesp.</p> <p><u>Nonclinical</u> No major differences in nonclinical data were observed for Nesbell compared to the reference biotherapeutic product Nesp.</p> <p><u>Clinical Studies</u> The Phase I and Phase III studies to demonstrate biosimilarity conducted in healthy volunteers and chronic renal failure patients provided robust evidence there are no clinically meaningful differences versus the reference biotherapeutic product Nesp .</p> <p>Safety: The ADRs observed with Nesbell were in the same range as the ADRs observed with the reference biotherapeutic product Nesp.</p> <p>Immunogenicity: The proportion of patients who developed anti-drug antibodies (ADA) with Nesbell</p>	

<August 2019>

was generally similar for the reference biotherapeutic product Nesp.

Extrapolation of indications: Based on the totality of evidence, all indications requested for Nesbell (see Section A, summary of outcomes) were considered to be approvable.

Risk Management

The risk management plan (or equivalent) was considered to be acceptable.

Overall Conclusion

Satisfactory assurance of biosimilarity was demonstrated using an appropriate comparability exercise.

The biosimilar product Nesbell was considered approvable.