

<August 2017>

<TRUXIMA>

<Ministry of Food and Drug Safety>

<APPROVED>

PART A - ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION		
Entered by:	Biosimilar Product Information	
MAH	Name of the biosimilar medicinal product	Truxima
MAH	MAH	Celltrion Inc. 23, Academy-ro, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon, 22014, Republic of Korea 20, Academy-ro 51beon-gil, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon, 22014, Republic of Korea
NRA	Authorisation / Licence number	Celltrion / 8
MAH / NRA	API manufacturing facilities and batch release site for the finished product (if applicable)	N/A < Confidential – Not Released >
MAH	Name of the active substance	Rituximab (INN)
MAH	Pharmaco-therapeutic group	ATC code : L01XC02
MAH	Substance category	Monoclonal antibody
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	Concentrate for solution for infusion. Clear, colourless liquid.
MAH	Quantitative composition	500mg/vial 100mg/vial
MAH	Route of administration	IV (Intravenous)
MAH	Packaging/material	Vial/Glass
MAH	Package size(s)	<u>500mg</u> 1 vial/pack <u>100mg</u> 2 vials/pack
MAH	Local legal basis	Pharmaceutical Affairs Act article 31 and Enforcement for drug safety article 4
MAH	Local biosimilar guidelines	"Guideline on the Evaluation of Biosimilar Product (MFDS 2014)"
MAH	Date of authorisation/licensing of biosimilar	16 November 2016
Reference Biotherapeutic Product (RBP) Information		

IPRF – PASIB TEMPLATE

Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar
IPRF Biosimilars WG

<August 2017>

MAH	Name of the RBP	MabThera
MAH	Authorised indications for RBP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rheumatoid Arthritis • Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma • Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia • Wegener's Glomerulonephritis • Microscopic Polyangiitis
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	Concentrate for solution for infusion. Clear, colourless liquid.
MAH	Quantitative composition	500mg/vial 100mg/vial
MAH	Route of administration	IV(intravenous)
MAH	Packaging/material	Vial/Glass
MAH	Package size(s)	<u>500mg</u> 1 vial/pack <u>100mg</u> 2 vials/pack
MAH	Authorisation (Licence) number (of RBP)	69
MAH	Date of authorisation (of RBP)	21 November 2003
MAH	Authorisation (Licence) Holder (of RBP)	Roche Korea
MAH	Source of RBP (or other comparator) for comparability exercise	EU, US, Korea.
MAH / NRA	Availability of the RBP assessment report (language)/link	<u>Initial Authorisation</u> http://www.mfds.go.kr/index.do?x=13&searchkey=product_nm&mid=1176&searchword=%B8%BF%C5%D7%B6%F3&cd=191&y=4&pageNo=1&seq=6150&cmd=v
Summary of outcomes		
MAH	Comparability exercise to demonstrate similarity to RBP	Physicochemical and biological, in vitro and in vivo functional study Toxicological study PK/PD study Safety and Efficacy study
NRA	Availability of full assessment report (Korean)/link	http://www.mfds.go.kr/index.do?mid=1176&cd=191&pageNo=1&seq=30632&cmd=v
MAH	Indications applied for (if different to RBP)	The indications applied for were all authorised for RBP (see section Authorised indications for RBP)
NRA	Authorised indications for	Rheumatoid Arthritis

<August 2017>

	biosimilar	Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Wegener's Glomerulonephritis Microscopic Polyangiitis
--	-------------------	---

MAH (Marketing Authorisation Holder) or Sponsor

NRA (National Regulatory Authority) i.e. CA (Competent Authority)

<August 2017>

PART B - SUBMITTED DATA AND REVIEWER SUMMARY	
Procedure: <Initial Application> <Variation / Supplement>	
<Variation number and scope: [Quality / Safety / Efficacy / Risk Management] and description>	
MAH	Quality data. Composition of the biosimilar product(s)
	<p><u>500mg</u> Active ingredient: Rituximab 500mg Excipients: Sodium Chloride, Trisodium Citrate Dihydrate, Polysorbate 80, Water for Injection</p> <p><u>100mg</u> Active ingredient: Rituximab 100mg Excipients: Sodium Chloride, Trisodium Citrate Dihydrate, Polysorbate 80, Water for Injection</p>
MAH	Quality data. State-of-the-art methods
	<p><u>Physicochemical Test Methods</u> Physicochemical comparability between CT-P10 and the comparator (MabThera) was examined. Amino acid analysis, Molar absorptivity, N-terminal sequencing, C-terminal sequencing, Peptide mapping were conducted to compare primary structure and Disulphide bond, free thiol residue analysis, FTIR, CD and DSC were examined for high-order structure analysis. Micro-heterogeneity and post-translational forms comparability were observed using monosaccharide analysis, N-linked glycan, sialic acid analysis and oligosaccharide profile analysis.</p> <p><u>Biological activity</u> Comparability of CT-P10 and the comparator in terms of biological activity was investigated by comparing Cell-based CD20 binding affinity, C1q binding affinity, FcγRIIIa binding affinity, FcγRIIa binding affinity, FcγRI binding affinity, FcRn binding affinity, Apoptotic activity and ADCC.</p>
NRA	Quality data assessment outcome
	<p>Comprehensive head-to-head characterisation studies performed using state-of-the-art analytical procedures demonstrated that all major quality attributes of Truxima with respect to the primary and higher order structures, post-translational modifications, physicochemical and biophysical properties, and biological activities were comparable to those of MabThera. The similarity range was defined using the sufficient batches of EU MabThera, and the bridging data demonstrated the equivalence of EU MabThera and Korea MabThera.</p> <p>Due to the complex heterogeneity in the structure of Rituximab, slight differences were found in the glycosylation profile and size and charge variants compared to MabThera; higher intact IgG, non-glycosylated heavy chain (NGHC), high-mannose glycan, and basic variants, and lower acid variants. However, those differences were not considered clinically meaningful since those had no impact on the biological activities related to the primary mechanism of action including CD20, C1q, FcγRIIIa, and FcγRn binding affinity, apoptosis, complement dependent cytotoxicity (CDC), antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC), and antibody dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP), as determined by Structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies. In addition, although a slightly lower trend of protein contents in terms of concentrations were observed, these minor differences in the protein and mannose contents were not considered to be significant to possess a</p>

<August 2017>

	physiological effect based on the PK equivalence demonstrated in the global clinical study. Overall, based on the totality of evidence with respect to all quality characteristics and global clinical studies, the biosimilarity of Truxima to the MabThera was concluded.
MAH	Mechanism of action
	Rituximab binds highly specifically to CD20 positive B-cell and induces B-cell depletion
MAH	Nonclinical data. <i>In vitro</i> studies
	Non-clinical studies conducted during development of CT-P10 include examination of Cell-based CD20 binding affinity, C1q binding affinity, FcγRIIIa binding affinity, FcγRIIa binding affinity, FcγRI binding affinity, FcRn binding affinity, Apoptotic activity, ADCC and Tissue cross-reactivity.
MAH	Nonclinical data. <i>In vivo</i> studies
	Toxicity studies were conducted using cynomolgus monkeys (IV) with comparative manner
NRA	Nonclinical data assessment outcome
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In vitro studies See Quality assessment data outcome. 2. In vivo studies TK studies in repeat dose toxicity in cynomolgus monkey, showed similar C_{max}, T_{max} and AUC_{0-168h}
	CLINICAL STUDIES - include relevant study data from the following (not all may be required) which have been included to demonstrate biosimilarity. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pharmacokinetic, PK • Pharmacodynamic, PD • Efficacy, • Safety, • Immunogenicity.
MAH	Clinical data. PK studies
	<u>Study Number: CT-P10 1.1</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summary of Design: Pharmacokinetics study with randomized, double-blind, parallel group, phase 1 trial • Population: Rheumatoid Arthritis (CT-P10 103, MabThera 51) • Objective and Primary endpoint: Demonstration of steady state in terms of AUC_{0-last} and C_{max} between CT-P10 and MabThera up to Week 24 • Secondary endpoints: Assessment in additional PK variables of CT-P10 compared with MabThera up to Week 24 and Evaluation of long-term efficacy, pharmacodynamics, overall safety and biomarkers of CT-P10 compared with MabThera up to Week 72 • Dose used: 1000mg of CT-P10 or MabThera • Length of the study: 72 weeks
NRA	Clinical data. PK data assessment outcome
	The primary PK endpoint, the geometric mean of AUC_{0-last} , C_{max} were also comparable in the Truxima and MabThera. The 90% CI of geometric mean of AUC_{0-last} was 97.72% ~

<August 2017>

	107.00%, C_{max} was 97.57%~103.53%, which are within the limit of the acceptance margin (80%~125%).
MAH	Clinical data. PD studies
	No specific PD study was conducted due to no relevant biomarker of therapeutic activity. However, in the pharmacokinetics and efficacy study, several biomarkers were compared between Truxima and MabThera
NRA	Clinical data. PD data assessment outcome
	Not applicable
MAH	Clinical data. Efficacy studies
	<p><u>Study Number: CT-P10 1.3</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summary of Design: Efficacy study with single-arm, open-label maintenance study, phase 1 trial Population: Rheumatoid Arthritis (CT-P10 58, MabThera 29) Objective and Primary endpoint: Demonstration to confirm long-term efficacy (ACR criteria, DAS28) and safety of CT-P10 compared with MabThera Length of the study: 104 weeks <p><u>Study Number: CT-P10 3.2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summary of Design: Pharmacokinetics, Efficacy and Safety study with randomized, double-blind, parallel group, phase 3 trial Population: Rheumatoid Arthritis (CT-P10 161, MabThera 60, Rituxan 151) Objective and Primary endpoint: Demonstration of similar pharmacokinetics and efficacy of CT-P10 compared to reference products (Rituxan and MabThera) Secondary endpoints: Evaluation of the additional pharmacokinetics and efficacy, pharmacodynamics, overall safety and biomarkers of CT-P10 compared with reference products Dose used: 1000mg of CT-P10 or Rituxan or MabThera Length of the study: 48 Weeks (Main Study Period) and 24 Weeks (Extension Study Period) <p><u>Study Number: CT-P10 3.3</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summary of Design: Pharmacokinetics and Efficacy study with randomized, double-blind, phase 3 trial Population: Follicular Lymphoma (CT-P10 70, Rituxan 70) Objective and Primary endpoint: Demonstration of similar pharmacokinetics and noninferior efficacy of CT-P10 compared to Rituxan Secondary endpoints: Evaluation of the additional pharmacokinetics and efficacy, pharmacodynamics, overall safety and biomarkers of CT-P10 compared with Rituxan Dose used: 375mg/m² of CT-P10 or Rituxan Length of the study: 24 Weeks (Main Study Period) and up to 2 years (Extension Study Period)
NRA	Clinical data. Efficacy data assessment outcome
	The efficacy and safety trial in RA patients achieved its primary endpoint since the 95% confidence interval for the difference in the DAS28(CRP) response rate at week 24 was contained within the predefined equivalence margin (± 0.6) in the efficacy population (95% CI: -0.29, 0.20). At week 24, the results of the secondary endpoints (in particular DAS28(ESR), ACR20/50/70, EULAR response) were all consistent with the results of the

IPRF – PASIB TEMPLATE
Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar
IPRF Biosimilars WG

<August 2017>

	primary endpoint.																																																																
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 20%;">Treatment</th><th style="width: 10%;">N</th><th style="width: 20%;">Adjusted Mean</th><th style="width: 20%;">Estimate of Treatment Difference</th><th style="width: 30%;">95% CI</th></tr> <tr> <td>Truxima</td><td>139</td><td>-2.14(0.177)</td><td rowspan="2" style="text-align: center;">-0.05</td><td rowspan="2" style="text-align: center;">(-0.29, 0.20)</td></tr> <tr> <td>Reference Product</td><td>196</td><td>-2.09(0.176)</td></tr> </table>					Treatment	N	Adjusted Mean	Estimate of Treatment Difference	95% CI	Truxima	139	-2.14(0.177)	-0.05	(-0.29, 0.20)	Reference Product	196	-2.09(0.176)																																															
Treatment	N	Adjusted Mean	Estimate of Treatment Difference	95% CI																																																													
Truxima	139	-2.14(0.177)	-0.05	(-0.29, 0.20)																																																													
Reference Product	196	-2.09(0.176)																																																															
MAH	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity studies (specify population, dose used, length of the study and comparability margins)																																																																
	<p>Safety data were collected from all clinical study; CT-P10 1.1, 1.3, 3.2 & 3.3. Analysed patients who administered at least one or partial dose of either of the study treatments during any dosing period.</p> <p>Immunogenicity profile was collected from CT-P13 1.1, 1.3, 3.2 & 3.3 studies</p>																																																																
NRA	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity data assessment outcome																																																																
	<p>1. Safety:</p> <p>The overall adverse event profile was similar for both the Truxima and Reference product groups.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th></th><th>Truxima (N=161)</th><th>Rituxan (N=151)</th><th>MabThera (N=60)</th><th>Reference Products (N=211)</th><th>Total (N=372)</th></tr> <tr> <td>Total number of TEAEs</td><td style="text-align: center;">203</td><td style="text-align: center;">161</td><td style="text-align: center;">53</td><td style="text-align: center;">214</td><td style="text-align: center;">417</td></tr> <tr> <td>Number(%) of patients with at least 1 TEAE</td><td style="text-align: center;">95(59.0)</td><td style="text-align: center;">76(50.3)</td><td style="text-align: center;">33(55.0)</td><td style="text-align: center;">109(51.7)</td><td style="text-align: center;">204(54.8)</td></tr> </table> <p>2. Immunogenicity: Immunogenicity of Truxima and Reference product from CT-P10 3.2 was similar.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th></th><th>Truxima (N=161)</th><th>Rituxan (N=151)</th><th>MabThera (N=60)</th><th>Reference Products (N=211)</th><th>Total (N=372)</th></tr> <tr> <td>Week 0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td> Positive</td><td style="text-align: center;">19(11.8%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">13(8.6%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">7(11.7%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">20(9.5%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">39(10.5%)</td></tr> <tr> <td> Negative</td><td style="text-align: center;">142(88.2%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">137(90.7%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">53(88.3%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">190(90.0%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">332(89.2%)</td></tr> <tr> <td>Week 24</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td> Positive</td><td style="text-align: center;">24(14.9%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">33(21.9%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">16(26.7%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">49(23.2%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">73(19.6%)</td></tr> <tr> <td> Negative</td><td style="text-align: center;">121(75.2%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">108(71.5%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">42(70.0%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">150(71.1%)</td><td style="text-align: center;">271(72.8%)</td></tr> </table>						Truxima (N=161)	Rituxan (N=151)	MabThera (N=60)	Reference Products (N=211)	Total (N=372)	Total number of TEAEs	203	161	53	214	417	Number(%) of patients with at least 1 TEAE	95(59.0)	76(50.3)	33(55.0)	109(51.7)	204(54.8)		Truxima (N=161)	Rituxan (N=151)	MabThera (N=60)	Reference Products (N=211)	Total (N=372)	Week 0						Positive	19(11.8%)	13(8.6%)	7(11.7%)	20(9.5%)	39(10.5%)	Negative	142(88.2%)	137(90.7%)	53(88.3%)	190(90.0%)	332(89.2%)	Week 24						Positive	24(14.9%)	33(21.9%)	16(26.7%)	49(23.2%)	73(19.6%)	Negative	121(75.2%)	108(71.5%)	42(70.0%)	150(71.1%)	271(72.8%)
	Truxima (N=161)	Rituxan (N=151)	MabThera (N=60)	Reference Products (N=211)	Total (N=372)																																																												
Total number of TEAEs	203	161	53	214	417																																																												
Number(%) of patients with at least 1 TEAE	95(59.0)	76(50.3)	33(55.0)	109(51.7)	204(54.8)																																																												
	Truxima (N=161)	Rituxan (N=151)	MabThera (N=60)	Reference Products (N=211)	Total (N=372)																																																												
Week 0																																																																	
Positive	19(11.8%)	13(8.6%)	7(11.7%)	20(9.5%)	39(10.5%)																																																												
Negative	142(88.2%)	137(90.7%)	53(88.3%)	190(90.0%)	332(89.2%)																																																												
Week 24																																																																	
Positive	24(14.9%)	33(21.9%)	16(26.7%)	49(23.2%)	73(19.6%)																																																												
Negative	121(75.2%)	108(71.5%)	42(70.0%)	150(71.1%)	271(72.8%)																																																												
MAH	Interchangeability data																																																																
	< No additional data were provided >																																																																
MAH	Additional information about the comparability exercise		As appropriate, if not previously included.																																																														
MAH	Post-authorization measures																																																																

<August 2017>

	Re-examination study in Korea; Observational, prospective cohort study to evaluate safety and efficacy of Truxima - Period: 2016. 11.16 ~ 2020. 11.15 - Number of subjects: 600	
NRA	Post-authorization risk measures: assessment outcome.	
	Post-marketing surveillance study plan was considered to be acceptable. Number of subjects of Truxima for PMS study met the MFDS criteria (over 600)	
MAH	Availability of additional relevant information in the local language/ link	Not Applicable

PART C - REVIEWER CONCLUSIONS

NRA	Conclusions on biosimilarity, approval
<p>The data provided by the Applicant were in line with the local legislation and guidelines.</p> <p><u>Quality</u> The biosimilar manufacturer has developed and validated a process capable of consistently manufacturing a product of appropriate quality, with satisfactory control of impurities. Manufacturing operations are carried out according to cGMP requirements.</p> <p>The quality attributes of high relevance for clinical safety and efficacy, e.g. physicochemical characteristics and biological activities of Truxima were comparable to those of the reference biotherapeutic product MabThera.</p> <p><u>Nonclinical</u> No major differences in nonclinical data were observed for Truxima compared to the reference biotherapeutic products, MabThera and Rituxan. .</p> <p><u>Clinical Studies</u> The PK, efficacy studies to demonstrate biosimilarity conducted in Rheumatoid Arthritis patients provided robust evidence there are no clinically meaningful differences versus the reference biotherapeutic products, MabThera and Rituxan.</p> <p>Safety: The ADRs observed with Truxima were in the same range as the ADRs observed with the reference biotherapeutic products, MabThera and Rituxan.</p> <p>Immunogenicity: The proportion of patients who developed anti-drug antibodies (ADA) with Truxima was generally similar for the reference biotherapeutic products, MabThera and Rituxan.</p> <p>Extrapolation of indications: Based on the totality of evidence, all indications requested for Truxima (see Section A, summary of outcomes) were considered to be approvable.</p> <p><u>Risk Management</u> The risk management plan (or equivalent) was considered to be acceptable.</p> <p><u>Overall Conclusion</u> Satisfactory assurance of biosimilarity was demonstrated using an appropriate comparability exercise. The biosimilar product Truxima was considered approvable.</p>	